

12-Oct-07 02:51pm From-

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

4142715770

T-696 P.001/002 F-825

OCT 12 2007

PTOL-413A (08-04)  
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

## Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/884,064  
Examiner: Michelle LeFirst Named Applicant: Ian Rowlandson  
Art Unit: 3626 Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection

## Tentative Participants:

(1) Examiner Michelle Le (2) Examiner Luke Gilligan  
 (3) Ian Rowlandson (4) Attorney Christopher M. Scherer

Proposed Date of Interview: Wednesday, October 17, 2007

Proposed Time: 3:00 EST (AM/PM)

## Type of Interview Requested:

(1)  Telephonic (2)  Personal (3)  Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated:  YES NO

If yes, provide brief description:

## Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art Selvester/Selker	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rej. §103	1		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuation Sheet Attached					

## Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

As a preliminary matter, the Applicant respectfully wishes to thank the Examiner and Supervisory Examiner for their time and attention and willingness to conduct this telephone interview. The Applicant wishes to make this interview as efficient as possible by outlining the claims and references to be discussed, as well as by providing a brief outline of the Applicant's arguments.

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on \_\_\_\_\_.  
 NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

Christopher M. Scherer

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

50,655

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

OCT 12 2007

**Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (Continuation Sheet)**Application No.: 09/684,064  
Examiner: Michelle LeFirst Named Applicant: Ian Rowlandson  
Art Unit: 3626 Status of Application: Non-Final Rejection**Issues to be Discussed (Continued)**

Issues	Claims/ Fig. #'s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(5) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(6) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(7) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(8) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(9) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]
(10) _____	_____	_____	[ ]	[ ]	[ ]

**Continuation of Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:**

The Applicant first wishes to discuss the Examiner's rejection of claim 1 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,230,048 to Selvester in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,277,188 to Selker. The Applicants submit that the Applicant may present arguments to the Examiners that may not be embodied, established or clear to the Examiner in the claims. The Applicants respectfully requests that if the Examiner believes that some of the arguments posed by the Applicants are persuasive, but not embodied in the claims, that the Examiners draw the Applicant's attention to this case. The Applicant wishes to point out that the Selvester patent is focused on generating an interactive display based on a computerized ECG. It first assumes that the computerized ECG has information that needs to be visualized as a pictorial image of the heart. The user can interact with this pictorial to add more information or consider information linked to this image. The physiological data interpretation system of the present application starts with the following premise: dont trust the computerized ECG interpretation. Rather, this system finds an ECG that matches the acquired ECG from a set of ECGs in an expert library. If there is a match, the documentation associated with the match is followed. So we begin with a medical test and try to find its nearest neighbor out of a collection of records, that don't necessarily relate to the patient. When the user looks at these records located by the computer, he agrees as to whether or not there is a match. When the match is confirmed, then associated documentation comes with it. The Applicant reserves the right to provide the Examiner with additional arguments to those described above, particularly any and all arguments having to do with the Selker reference. Once again, the Applicant respectfully wishes to thank the Examiners for their time and attention in advance, and welcomes the Examiners to contact the Applicant's prior to, or after the interview with any questions they may have.